

Credit Opinion: Victoria (State of) Australia

Victoria (State of) Australia

Australia

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Treasury Corporation of Victoria	
Outlook	Stable
Bkd Issuer Rating	Aaa
Bkd Senior Secured -Dom Curr	Aaa
Bkd Bonds -Dom Curr	Aaa
Bkd Commercial Paper	P-1

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Debra Roane/New York	1.212.553.1653
Steven Hochman/New York	
Yves Lemay/New York	

Key Indicators

Victoria (State of) Australia	2003	2004	2005B	2005	2006B	2006E
Key Indicators [1][2]						
General Government						
As a % of Revenue:						
Net Lending / (Borrowing) [3]	2.6	(0.4)	(2.3)	1.1	(4.7)	(4.9)
Cash Surplus/ (Deficit)	0.2	0.8	0.5	1.9	(1.4)	(1.3)
Interest Expense	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.5
Non-Financial Public Sector						
As a % of Revenue:						
Net Lending / (Borrowing) [3]	0.5	(2.0)	(5.0)	(0.1)	(7.9)	(7.8)
Cash Surplus/ (Deficit)	(1.6)	(1.2)	(2.5)	(0.2)	(4.8)	(4.4)
Interest Expense	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.3
Intergovernmental Transfers	40.8	40.6	41.5	41.4	43.2	43.1
Gross Debt [4]	32.8	31.1	33.1	30.5	35.2	33.0
Real GSP Growth Rate (%) [5]	2.80	5.30	3.25	2.30	3.00	3.00

[1] For the years ending June 30. [2] 1999-2003 Actuals have been restated by the VIC State, in line with the restated ABS figures [3] Based on the State's accrual results, Financing Surplus / (Requirement). [4] Figures do not include debt issued by the Treasury Corporation for debt management purposes; In 2004 this amounted to A\$3.6 billion. [5] Chain Volume Measures, source ABS 2004-05 publ, Budget figures are from Budget Papers

Opinion

Credit Strengths

Victoria's credit strengths include:

--Low debt burden

--Strong financial performance supported by prudent fiscal management and revenue growth

--Diverse economic base

Credit Challenges

Victoria's credit challenges include:

--Potential for less robust revenue performance

--Higher capital spending levels likely to lead to increased borrowing

Rating Rationale

Victoria's Aaa ratings are supported by a sound fiscal performance with a well established trend of surpluses, underpinned by the state's prudent fiscal practices and continued strength in tax revenues.

The state's debt load is modest because, in the 1990s, the proceeds from a vast privatization program of state assets were applied to debt reduction and, since then, the state has used its surpluses to further pay down debt. Substantial financial assets held in liquid investments provide ample internal liquidity.

Victoria's sizable and diversified economic base amply supports its financial operations. In recent years strong economic growth has been supported by consumer spending, housing and business investment. This year's estimates indicate that a decline in net exports will continue to detract from growth, while housing investment has slowed; but economic expansion is still expected to meet the national average, boosted by business investment and consumer spending.

The strong financial support provided by the Commonwealth government to all states is also a key factor in Victoria's ratings.

Rating Outlook

The outlook for Victoria's Aaa ratings is stable. The state's strong financial position and low debt burden position it well to absorb projected financing deficits (net borrowing results) and an associated increase in the debt burden anticipated over the medium term due to higher spending levels and slower revenue growth.

What Could Change the Rating - DOWN

An unlikely scenario combining a severe correction in the housing market and economic recession, along with a significant change in the government's prudent fiscal policies that would lead to rapid accumulation of debt, could have an adverse impact on the rating.

Recent Developments

The state's 2005/06 budget projected a general government sector deficit equivalent to -4.7% of revenues, compared with a surplus equivalent to 1.1% of revenues achieved in 2004/05. The deficit reflected a substantial increase in capital spending and a higher level of current spending which was expected to coincide with less robust growth in tax revenues reflecting land tax relief and a slowdown in the housing market. The non-financial public sector was projected to produce a deficit of -7.9% of revenues (re-stated from the original -6%), a significant widening from the minor deficit of -0.1% of revenues registered in 2004/05. This result incorporates both increased deficits projected for the general government sector and a deterioration in public corporations' operating performance, expected to occur during a period of intensive capital investment.

Mid-year results indicate that the state is essentially on target for 2005/06; both the general government and non-financial public sectors are performing close to budgeted estimates--with slight improvements in revenues offset by increased spending. Over the medium term, however, general government sector deficits are projected to increase moderately beyond what was anticipated at budget time reflecting planned improvements to the public transit system and a program to enhance regional economic development. Nevertheless, deficits are expected to decline to a minor 1.2% of revenues by 2008/09. The outlook for public corporations, of key importance to non-financial public sector results, are not published.

© Copyright 2005, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors including Moody's Assurance Company, Inc. (together, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, such information is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind and MOODY'S, in particular, makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness,

completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such information. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The credit ratings and financial reporting analysis observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Each rating or other opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment decision made by or on behalf of any user of the information contained herein, and each such user must accordingly make its own study and evaluation of each security and of each issuer and guarantor of, and each provider of credit support for, each security that it may consider purchasing, holding or selling.

MOODY'S hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MOODY'S have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MOODY'S for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to \$2,400,000. Moody's Corporation (MCO) and its wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary, Moody's Investors Service (MIS), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually on Moody's website at www.moody's.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations - Corporate Governance - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited does not hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act. This credit rating opinion has been prepared without taking into account any of your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should, before acting on the opinion, consider the appropriateness of the opinion having regard to your own objectives, financial situation and needs.